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Title of the article:

Type of article: original research\_\_, review article\_\_, clinical case study \_\_, others \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The reviewer is free to make comments, corrections and suggestions within the manuscript if he/she deems it necessary.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | Yes | No | N/A |
| **TITLE** | | | | |
| 1. It reflects the overall content of the research | |  |  |  |
| 2. It is clear and concise | |  |  |  |
| **ABSTRACT AND KEYWORDS** | | | | |
| 3. The abstract integrates the content of the manuscript | |  |  |  |
| 4. The abstract has been structured according to the type of article it treats | |  |  |  |
| 5. Keywords are relevant | |  |  |  |
| 6. It needs more keywords | |  |  |  |
| **INTRODUCTION** | | | | |
| 7. A contextualization is performed on the main topic of the manuscript | |  |  |  |
| 8. A synthesis of the national or global epidemiological context of the main topic of the manuscript is performed | |  |  |  |
| 9. The scientific or technological cause of the manuscript is stated | |  |  |  |
| 10. The objective is stated explicitly, clearly and concisely | |  |  |  |
| **PROGRESS AND METHODOLOGY** | | | | |
| 11. It is considered that the type of study is clear and concise | |  |  |  |
| 12.The methodological proposal developed is coherent according to the type of study | |  |  |  |
| 13. The presentation of the methodology has a logical and orderly sequence | |  |  |  |
| 14. Methods of data collection are consistent with the type of study | |  |  |  |
| 15. The criteria for inclusion and exclusion are clearly and concretely presented | |  |  |  |
| 16. Statistical or content analysis is appropriate for data management according to the type of study | |  |  |  |
| 17. The presentation of the clinical case is clear, orderly and concrete | |  |  |  |
| 18. The manuscript is guided by the ethical norms in force for health research | |  |  |  |
| **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** | | | | |
| 19. The main results are presented clearly | |  |  |  |
| 20. The results are presented in an orderly manner | |  |  |  |
| 21. The results of the research are valid | |  |  |  |
| 22. A critical analysis of the literature in confrontation with the results is carried out | |  |  |  |
| 23. Critical analysis is presented in an orderly manner | |  |  |  |
| **CONCLUSIONS** | | | | |
| 24. The author argues and draws conclusions based on the review and results | |  |  |  |
| 25. The conclusions are consistent with the objective set by the author | |  |  |  |
| 26. The conclusions are derived from the results and discussion | |  |  |  |
| **TABLES OR FIGURES** | | | | |
| 27. They are relevant | |  |  |  |
| 28. They complement the content of the text | |  |  |  |
| 29. Their quantity is appropiate | |  |  |  |
| **GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS** | | | | |
| 30. It is considered that the topic developed is of interest for the health area | |  |  |  |
| 31. It has typing errors | |  |  |  |
| 32. The references are appropiate | |  |  |  |
| 33. The references are enough | |  |  |  |
| 34. The references are updated | |  |  |  |
| 35. It is necessary to go deeper into some item | |  |  |  |
| Please, explain: | | | | |
| **FINAL REMARKS** | | | | |
| 36. It is relevant to publish this article | |  |  |  |
| 37. It is consedered that the document makes contributions to knowledge | |  |  |  |
| 38. It is considered that the document is original and unpublished | |  |  |  |
| 39. It is considered that the statements are based on valid data and information | |  |  |  |
| 40. Overall, the document, is clear and coherent for potential readers | |  |  |  |
| **DECISION OF THE PUBLICATION** | | Select the most suitable choice | | |
| Publish with no changes | | | |  |
| Publish after minor changes (specify) | | | |  |
| Publish after moderate changes (specify) | | | |  |
| Reconsider and post after major changes (specify) | | | |  |
| Reject | | | |  |
| Comments:Por favor anexar cualquier sugerencia que considere necesaria para mejorar la calidad del texto en revisión. Igualmente, este espacio sirve para ampliar las respuestas negativas señaladas anteriormente, por favor para contestar utilice el número que precede la pregunta. *Please attach any suggestions you deem necessary to improve the quality of the text under review. Likewise, this space is useful to widen the negative answers indicated above. To answer, please use the number that precedes the statement.* | | | | |
| Reviewed by: | | | | |
| **Institutional affiliation:** | | | | |
| **Degrees:** | | | | |
| **Identification Data** CC or Passport: | | | | |
| **ORCID\*:** | | | | |
| **Google Scholar Link:** | | | | |
| **CVlac Link\*\*:** | | | | |
| **Other Identification systems:** | **ResearchGate:** | | | |
|  | **Mendeley:** | | | |
|  | **Academia:** | | | |
|  | **Publindex:** | | | |
|  | **Redalyc:** | | | |
|  | **Other:** | | | |

\* ORCID: system that allows and maintains a unique researcher register code, as well as a method to link research activities and products from this identifying code. https://orcid.org/register

\*\* CVlac: it is the web curriculum vitae format of Colciencias, which is highly recommended to create in case of having a Colombian nationality.

**Thank you very much**, we recognize your effort as the best tool in achieving the scientific quality of our publications in Health Sciences.

**MedUNAB journal editorial committee**