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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | YES | NO | Not applicable |
| **TITLE** | | | | |
| 1. Does it reflect the overall content of the image's description? | |  |  |  |
| 2. Is it clear and concise? | |  |  |  |
| **IMAGES** | | | | |
| 3. Does it adequately illustrate the concept, identification, variety, disease or diagnosis? | |  |  |  |
| 4. Does it represent the importance of the topic to be illustrated? | |  |  |  |
| 5. Does the image have an adequate resolution and lighting? | |  |  |  |
| 6. Is it possible to observe the findings that the image or images attempt to illustrate? | |  |  |  |
| 7. Is the number of images presented appropriate? | |  |  |  |
| 8. Does the image or images protect the patient's identity? | |  |  |  |
| 9. Are they innovative or appealing? | |  |  |  |
| **IMAGE DESCRIPTION** | | | | |
| 10. Do you consider that the image description is adequate? | |  |  |  |
| 11. Does it describe findings to be highlighted in each image? | |  |  |  |
| 12. Do you consider the order of presentation of the image or images pertinent? | |  |  |  |
| **TEXT** | | | | |
| 13. Does it briefly mention the document's central topic / diagnosis? | |  |  |  |
| 14. Does it clearly state what it intends to illustrate from the pathology with the image or images? | |  |  |  |
| 15. Does it include relevant information about findings of the case presented in images? | |  |  |  |
| 16. Is there a brief context presentation as per the image’s findings? | |  |  |  |
| 17. Does it showcase what is innovative or appealing about the image or images? | |  |  |  |
| 18. Is the description length adequate (maximum 500 words)? | |  |  |  |
| **OVERVIEW** | | | | |
| 19. Do you think that the topic covered is of interest to the health area? | |  |  |  |
| 20. Does it have calculation errors? | |  |  |  |
| 21. Is the bibliographical material adequate? | |  |  |  |
| 22. Is the bibliographical material sufficient? | |  |  |  |
| 23. Is the bibliographical material up-to-date? | |  |  |  |
| 24. Do you think it is necessary to delve deeper into any item? | |  |  |  |
| Please specify: | | | | |
| **FINAL CONSIDERATIONS** | | | | |
| 25. Do you think publication of the article is pertinent? | |  |  |  |
| 26. Do you believe that the document contributes to knowledge? | |  |  |  |
| 27. Do you believe that the document is an unpublished original? | |  |  |  |
| 28. Do you believe that the claims therein are based on valid data and information? | |  |  |  |
| 29. Do you believe that, in general, the document is clear and coherent for potential readers? | |  |  |  |
| **DECISION TO PUBLISH** | | Select the decision you consider appropriate | | |
| Publish without modifications | |  | | |
| Publish after minor modifications (specify) | |  | | |
| Publish after moderate modifications (specify) | |  | | |
| Reconsider and publish after major modifications (specify) | |  | | |
| Rejected | |  | | |
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| **CVlac Link \*\*:** | | | | |
| **Link to other identifiers:** | **ResearchGate:** | | | |
|  | **Mendeley:** | | | |
|  | **Academia:** | | | |
|  | **Publindex:** | | | |
|  | **Redalyc:** | | | |
|  | **Others:** | | | |

*\* ORCID: a system to create and maintain a unique registry of researcher identifiers and a transparent method of linking research activities and outputs to these identifiers. https://orcid.org/register*
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